UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	1
DEANNA LETRAY,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
JEFFERSON COUNTY; CITY OF WATERTOWN; COLLEEN O'NEILL, Jefferson County Sheriff; KRISTOPHER M. SPENCER; JOEL DETTMER; CHARLES DONOGHUE, City of Watertown Police Chief; GEORGE CUMMINGS; SAMUEL WHITE; DEBORAH DAVIS and PATRICK LARKINS,	20-CV-1194 (FJS)(TWD)
Defendants.	
[PROPOSED] VER	DICT SHEET
Part One: LIABILITY	
I. CLAIM ONE: § 1983 Denial of Equal	Protection
1. Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of	of the evidence, that any of the following
defendants discriminated against her based o	n her transgender status?
Defendant George Cummings	<u>::</u>
Yes No	
Defendant Samuel White: Yes No	
Defendant Joel Dettmer:	
Yes No	<u> </u>

	Defendant Patrick Larkins:		
	Yes	No	
	Defendant De	eborah Davis:	
	Yes	No	
[If you answe skip 1-A throi		of question 1, proceed to q	uestions 1-A through 1-E. If not
1-A.	Did the plaintiff pro	ve, by a preponderance of the	ne evidence, that any of the
violations ide	ntified in question 1 w	ere caused by the Watertow	n Police Department's official
policy, practic	ce, or custom, or by its	failure to train officers?	
	Yes	No	
1-B. violations ide	ntified in question 1 w	ve, by a preponderance of the vere caused by Defendant Cl No	·
violations ide	ntified in question 1 wee, or custom, of by its	ye, by a preponderance of the vere caused by the Jefferson failure to train officers? No	e evidence, that any of the County Sheriff's Office's official
1-D. violations ide	ntified in question 1 w	ve, by a preponderance of the vere caused by Defendant Shannan No	·

1-E. Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the		
violations identified in question 1 were caused by Defendant Jail Administrator Kristopher		
Spencer?		
Yes No		
II. CLAIM TWO: New York State Constitution Equal Protection		
2. [This claim requires the same answers you gave regarding CLAIM ONE.]		
III. CLAIM THREE: New York State Civil Rights Law § 40-c		
3. Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the following		
defendants discriminated against her based on her transgender status, or aided or incited		
such discrimination? For each "yes" answer, identify the number of instances of unlawful		
discrimination—or aiding or inciting—that each respective defendant committed.		
Defendant George Cummings:		
Yes No		
If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aiding/inciting:		
Defendant Samuel White:		
Yes No		
If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aiding/inciting:		
Defendant Joel Dettmer:		
Yes No		
If "Yes." # of instances of discrimination/aiding/inciting:		

	Defendant Patrick Larkins:		
	Yes No		
	If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aidir	ng/inciting:	
	Yes No		
	If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aidir	ng/inciting:	
	Defendant Charles Donoghue:		
	Yes No		
	If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aidir	ng/inciting:	
	Defendant Colleen O'Neill:		
	Yes No		
	If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aidir	ng/inciting:	
	Defendant Kristopher Spencer:		
	Yes No		
	If "Yes," # of instances of discrimination/aidir	ng/inciting	
IV. C	CLAIM FOUR: § 1983 Unreasonable Manual Body C	avity Search	
4. Did t	d the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, the	nat Defendant Joel Dettmer	
perfo	formed an unreasonable manual body cavity search on her	?	
	Yes No		

V.	CLAIM FIVE: § 1983 Sexual Assault		
5.	Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Joel Dettmer		
	sexually assaulted her?		
	Yes No		
VI	CLAIM SIX: § 1983 Failure to Intervene		
	(ONLY ANSWER IF YOU CHECKED "YES" AS TO DEFENDANT DETTMER FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM. OTHERWISE SKIP.)		
5.	Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the following		
	defendants unlawfully failed to intervene when Defendant Joel Dettmer was violating her		
	rights?		
	<u>Defendant George Cummings:</u>		
	Yes No		
	Defendant Patrick Larkins:		
	Yes No		
	Defendant Deborah Davis:		
	Yes No		
VI	I. CLAIM SEVEN: § 1983 Excessive Use of Force		

7. Did the plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the following defendants used unreasonable or excessive force against her?

Defendant Georg	ge Cummings:
Yes	No
Defendant Samu	el White:
Yes	No
Defendant Joel I	Dettmer:
Yes	No

Part Two: DAMAGES

(ONLY COMPLETE IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO ANY QUESTION 1-7)

Compensatory Damages:

8. If you found liability as to any claim *other* than CLAIM THREE (i.e., if you answered "yes" to question 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, or 7), state the amount of compensatory damages to which the plaintiff is entitled.

\$_____

Statutory Penalty:

9. If you found liability as to CLAIM THREE for any defendant (i.e., if you answered "yes" finding that any defendant violated Civil Rights Law § 40-c), you must choose a statutory penalty of no less than \$100 and no more than \$500 for *each* instance of discrimination (including aiding or inciting discrimination) you identified. Add up these numbers. State the total amount of the statutory penalties to which the plaintiff is entitled.

\$_____

Punitive Damages:

10. Has the plaintiff proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she is entitled to punitive damages against:

Defendant George Cummings:

Yes_____ No____

<u>Defendant Samuel White:</u>		
Yes	No	
Defendant Joel Det	tmer:	
Yes	No	
Defendant Detrials I		
Defendant Patrick I	<u>Larkins:</u>	
Yes	No	
Defendant Deborah	Davis:	
Yes	No	
1 65	110	
	_	
Defendant Charles	Donoghue:	
Yes	No	
Defendant Colleen	O'Neill:	
	<u> </u>	
Yes	No	
Defendant Kristoph	er Spencer:	
Yes	No	

11. If you answered "yes" to any part of question 10, identify the amount of punitive damages to which the plaintiff is entitled from each defendant:

<u>Defendant George Cummings:</u>
\$
Defendant Samuel White: \$
Defendant Joel Dettmer: \$
Defendant Patrick Larkins: \$
Defendant Deborah Davis: \$
Defendant Charles Donoghue: \$
Defendant Colleen O'Neill: \$
Defendant Kristopher Spencer:

Dated:	, 2024		
		FOREPERSON	